

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action mailed June 6, 2008, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Claims 1, 2, 4, 11 and 13-16 were previously pending in this application. By this amendment, claim 1 has been amended. No new claims have been added. As a result, claims 1, 2, 4, 11, and 13-16 are pending for examination with claim 1 being the sole independent claim. Claims 5-7, 12, 17-22, and 24-26 are withdrawn from consideration. Upon allowance of a generic claim, the withdrawn claims will be rejoined in this application. No new matter has been added.

Applicant acknowledges the courtesies extended by Examiner Nguyen during a telephone interview with the undersigned on June 26, 2008. The substance of the interview is summarized in the remarks set forth herein below.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Miller (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,648).

As a preliminary matter, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to clarify the claim by deleting "a display apparatus".

During the interview, the Examiner acknowledged that independent claim 1, as amended in response to the Election of Species Requirement, was not examined and instead the current rejection was made based on claim 1 as filed. In the interview, the Examiner indicated that she would examine amended claim 1 and issue either a non-final Office Action or a Notice of Allowance. Applicant believes independent claim 1 to be in condition for allowance over the applied references. Because claims 2, 4, and 11 depend from independent claim 1, these claims should also be allowed.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 13-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Abraham (U.S. Patent No. 3,735,447).

For the same reasons stated above, because claims 13-16 depend from independent claim 1, these claims should be in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the telephone number listed below if this communication does not place the case in condition for allowance.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee, that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 23/2825.

Dated: July 2, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Neil P. Ferraro
Registration No.: 39,188
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
Federal Reserve Plaza
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206
617.646.8000